



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/978,146	10/15/2001	Shlomo Melmed	18810-81351	4097

7590

01/29/2003

Edward G. Poplawski, Esq.  
SIDLEY AUSTIN BROWN & WOOD  
555 West Fifth Street  
Los Angeles, CA 90013-1010

EXAMINER

CHEN, SHIN LIN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1632

6

DATE MAILED: 01/29/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/978,146

Applicant(s)

Memed et al.

Examiner

Shin-Lin Chen

Art Unit

1632



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above, claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claims 1-36 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some\* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_ 6) ☐ Other:

Art Unit: 1632

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-20, 25-32 and 36, drawn to null mutant rodent comprising in its germ cells an artificially induced PTTG null mutation and use of said mutant rodent for the study of diabetes, hyperglycemia, hypoinsulinaemia, and hypoleptinemia, classified in class 800, subclasses 3 and 18.
  - II. Claims 21-24, drawn to somatic cells, germ cells or cell lines derived from the null mutant rodent having PTTG null mutation, classified in class 435, subclass 325.
  - III. Claims 1, 27-31 and 33, drawn to null mutant rodent comprising in its germ cells an artificially induced PTTG null mutation and use of said mutant rodent for the study of chromosomal aneuploidy, chromosomal damage etc., classified in class 800, subclasses 3 and 18.
  - IV. Claims 1, 27-31 and 34, drawn to null mutant rodent comprising in its germ cells an artificially induced PTTG null mutation and use of said mutant rodent for the study of thrombocytopenia, thymic hyperplasia, and splenic hypoplasia, classified in class 800, subclasses 3 and 18.
  - V. Claims 1, 27-31 and 35, drawn to null mutant rodent comprising in its germ cells an artificially induced PTTG null mutation and use of said mutant rodent for the study of testicular hypoplasia and female subfertility, classified in class 800, subclasses 3 and 18.

Art Unit: 1632

Claims 27-31 link(s) inventions III-V. Claim 1 links to inventions I and III-V. The restriction requirement among the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claims 1 and 27-31. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. See *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also M.E.P.. § 804.01.

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Groups I and III-V are distinct from each other because they are drawn to materially different methods that uses different transgenic knockout rodent having different phenotypes, and said transgenic knockout rodent differ morphologically and physiologically. Those methods differ at least in objectives, method steps, reagents and doses used, schedule used, response variables, and criteria of success. They require separate search and are patentably distinct from each other.

Group II is distinct from groups I and III-V because they are different products that differ chemically, morphologically and physiologically and they have different uses: cells or cell lines

Art Unit: 1632

vs transgenic knockout rodent. They have different classifications and require separate search..

Thus, group II is patentably distinct from groups I and III-V.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shin-Lin Chen whose telephone number is (703) 305-1678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9 am to 5:30 pm.

Art Unit: 1632

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Reynolds can be reached on (703) 305-4051. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Shin-Lin Chen, Ph.D.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Shin-Lin Chen', is positioned to the right of the printed name.